

CLINICAL VIGNETTE

Emerging Role of Lipoprotein(a) in the Diagnosis and Treatment of Coronary Artery Disease

Boris Arbit, MD

Case Report

Lipoprotein(a) [Lp(a)] testing is gaining recognition for clinical value in cardiovascular (CV) risk assessment. Research continues to highlight its strong link to atherosclerotic diseases such as coronary artery disease and stroke. Despite growing awareness, challenges remain in effectively treating elevated Lp(a) levels, as they are primarily genetically determined and less responsive to traditional lipid-lowering therapies. Addressing these challenges is important for the development of targeted treatments to reduce CV risk in affected individuals. The following clinical case illustrates some of the difficulties in the treatment of patients with elevated CV risk.

A 61-year-old woman presented to Cardiology to establish care. She had been clinically stable, adhering to a Mediterranean style diet, and performing regular, dedicated cardiovascular exercise at moderate to high level of intensity. The patient's brother was diagnosed with severe coronary artery disease at 65 years old. For this reason, she sought cardiovascular risk assessment. The patient's primary care physician obtained a fasting lipid panel and a computed tomographic (CT) coronary calcium scan. The patient's LDL cholesterol was 176 mg/dL ($N < 100$ mg/dL) and her coronary artery calcium score was 234, placing her in the 95th percentile rank for age group and gender. Lp(a) was also checked and was elevated at 178 nmol/L ($N < 75$ nmol/L). She was initially started on atorvastatin however, several months later she reported severe muscle pain and weakness which significantly reduced her exercise tolerance. She was subsequently tried on rosuvastatin and pravastatin, but experienced similar side effects. Due to these problems, a proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin type 9 (PCSK9) inhibitor, evolocumab, was started. Although the patient found the new regimen tolerable, it was concerning that LDL was not reduced to target levels and Lp(a) remained essentially unchanged (at 173 nmol/L).

Discussion

The history of Lp(a) testing, rooted in late 20th-century discoveries, has advanced in laboratory methods and understanding of clinical relevance. Initial studies, such as those by Berg et al. in 1986, identified Lp(a)'s association with atherosclerosis, paving the way for further research into its role in cardiovascular disease.¹ Subsequent refinements in measurement techniques, including the introduction of enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (ELISA) and genetic testing, have

enhanced accuracy and deepened insights into Lp(a)'s biology.^{2,3}

Lipoprotein(a) is a low-density lipoprotein (LDL)-like particle with an apolipoprotein B-100 molecule covalently bound to apolipoprotein (a).⁴ Circulating Lp(a) levels are primarily genetically determined,⁵ and epidemiologic, genome-wide association, and mendelian randomization studies provide robust evidence that elevated Lp(a) levels are causally associated with atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (ASCVD) risk.⁵ Coronary artery calcium (CAC) is a highly specific marker of coronary atherosclerosis that is quantified using the Agatston method to yield the CAC score.⁶ A CAC score captures the burden of subclinical coronary atherosclerosis and is a guideline-endorsed, independent predictor of ASCVD risk.^{7,8} Mehta et al recently reported several important findings of independent and joint associations of Lp(a) and CAC score with ASCVD risk among participants of two multi-ethnic American epidemiologic cohorts free of clinical cardiovascular disease at baseline.⁹ First, elevated Lp(a) level and CAC score were independently associated with incident ASCVD, after adjusting for traditional risk factors and each other. Second, participants with both elevated Lp(a) and CAC score were at significantly higher ACVD risk compared with those having neither risk marker elevated. Third, elevated Lp(a) level was associated with higher ASCVD risk among individuals with CAC score ≥ 100 , whereas among individuals with CAC < 100 , ASCVD risk was similar with elevated or non-elevated Lp(a) level. Fourth, individuals with CAC score of zero were at low 10-year ASCVD risk even in the setting of an elevated Lp(a) level.

In response to the emerging role of Lp(a) as a cardiovascular risk marker, tailored therapeutic strategies have been explored to mitigate elevated Lp(a) levels. Pharmacological interventions, including niacin and proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin type 9 (PCSK9) inhibitors, have shown promise in lowering Lp(a) concentrations, albeit with varying efficacy.¹⁰ Novel therapeutic modalities such as antisense oligonucleotide therapies targeting apolipoprotein(a) synthesis represent a promising frontier in Lp(a)-specific management, offering potential avenues for precision medicine in CVD prevention.¹¹ However, challenges such as treatment adherence and long-term safety profiles warrant further investigation.

Incorporating Lp(a) testing into cardiovascular risk assessment shows potential for improving risk stratification and informing targeted interventions. Ongoing research is crucial to better understand the mechanisms by which Lp(a) contributes to cardiovascular risk and to refine its use in clinical settings. Additionally, thorough evaluation of new therapies aimed at lowering Lp(a) is essential to confirm their effectiveness, safety, and long-term benefits for cardiovascular health.

REFERENCES

1. Rhoads GG, Dahlen G, Berg K, Morton NE, Dannenberg AL. Lp(a) lipoprotein as a risk factor for myocardial infarction. *JAMA*. 1986 Nov 14;256(18):2540-4. PMID: 2945939.
2. Erqou S, Thompson A, Di Angelantonio E, Saleheen D, Kaptoge S, Marcovina S, Danesh J. Apolipoprotein(a) isoforms and the risk of vascular disease: systematic review of 40 studies involving 58,000 participants. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2010 May 11;55(19):2160-7. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2009.10.080. PMID: 20447543.
3. Clarke RC, Peden JF, Hopewell JC, Kyriakou T, Goel A, Heath SC, Parish S, Barlera S, Franzosi MG, Rust S, Bennett D, Silveira A, Malarstig A, Green FR, Lathrop M, Gigante B, Leander K, de Faire U, Seedorf U, Hamsten A, Collins R, Watkins H, Farrall M; PROCARDIS Consortium. Genetic variants associated with Lp(a) lipoprotein level and coronary disease. *N Engl J Med*. 2009 Dec 24;361(26):2518-28. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa0902604. PMID: 20032323.
4. Grundy SM. Low-density lipoprotein, non-high-density lipoprotein, and apolipoprotein B as targets of lipid-lowering therapy. *Circulation*. 2002 Nov 12;106(20):2526-9. doi: 10.1161/01.cir.0000038419.53000.d6. PMID: 12427645.
5. Reyes-Soffer G, Ginsberg HN, Berglund L, Duell PB, Heffron SP, Kamstrup PR, Lloyd-Jones DM, Marcovina SM, Yeang C, Koschinsky ML; American Heart Association Council on Arteriosclerosis, Thrombosis and Vascular Biology; Council on Cardiovascular Radiology and Intervention; and Council on Peripheral Vascular Disease. Lipoprotein(a): A Genetically Determined, Causal, and Prevalent Risk Factor for Atherosclerotic Cardiovascular Disease: A Scientific Statement From the American Heart Association. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol*. 2022 Jan; 42(1):e48-e60. doi: 10.1161/ATV.000000000000147. Epub 2021 Oct 14. PMID: 34647487; PMCID: PMC9989949.
6. Tada H, Takamura M, Kawashiri MA. Lipoprotein(a) as an Old and New Causal Risk Factor of Atherosclerotic Cardiovascular Disease. *J Atheroscler Thromb*. 2019 Jul 1;26(7):583-591. doi: 10.5551/jat.RV17034. Epub 2019 Apr 30. PMID: 31061262; PMCID: PMC6629747.
7. Greenland P, Blaha MJ, Budoff MJ, Erbel R, Watson KE. Coronary Calcium Score and Cardiovascular Risk. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2018 Jul 24;72(4):434-447. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2018.05.027. PMID: 30025580; PMCID: PMC6056023.
8. Grundy SM, Stone NJ, Bailey AL, Beam C, Birtcher KK, Blumenthal RS, Braun LT, de Ferranti S, Faiella-Tommasino J, Forman DE, Goldberg R, Heidenreich PA, Hlatky MA, Jones DW, Lloyd-Jones D, Lopez-Pajares N, Ndumele CE, Orringer CE, Peralta CA, Saseen JJ, Smith SC Jr, Sperling L, Virani SS, Yeboah J. 2018 AHA/ACC/AACVPR/AAPA/ABC/ACPM/ADA/AGS/APhA/ASPC/NLA/PCNA Guideline on the Management of Blood Cholesterol: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines. *Circulation*. 2019 Jun 18;139(25):e1082-e1143. doi: 10.1161/CIR.0000000000000625. Epub 2018 Nov 10. Erratum in: *Circulation*. 2019 Jun 18;139(25):e1182-e1186. doi: 10.1161/CIR.0000000000000698. Erratum in: *Circulation*. 2023 Aug 15;148(7):e5. doi: 10.1161/CIR.0000000000001172. PMID: 30586774; PMCID: PMC7403606.
9. Mehta A, Vasquez N, Ayers CR, Patel J, Hooda A, Khera A, Blumenthal RS, Shapiro MD, Rodriguez CJ, Tsai MY, Sperling LS, Virani SS, Blaha MJ, Joshi PH. Independent Association of Lipoprotein(a) and Coronary Artery Calcification With Atherosclerotic Cardiovascular Risk. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2022 Mar 1;79(8):757-768. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2021.11.058. PMID: 35210030; PMCID: PMC10966924.
10. Marcovina SM, Viney NJ, Hughes SG, Xia S, Witztum JL, Tsimikas S. Temporal variability in lipoprotein(a) levels in patients enrolled in the placebo arms of IONIS-APO(a)_{Rx} and IONIS-APO(a)-L_{Rx} antisense oligonucleotide clinical trials. *J Clin Lipidol*. 2018 Jan-Feb;12(1):122-129.e2. doi: 10.1016/j.jacl.2017.10.024. Epub 2017 Nov 2. PMID: 29174389.
11. Raal FJ, Kallend D, Ray KK, Turner T, Koenig W, Wright RS, Wijngaard PLJ, Curcio D, Jaros MJ, Leiter LA, Kastelein JJP; ORION-9 Investigators. Inclisiran for the Treatment of Heterozygous Familial Hypercholesterolemia. *N Engl J Med*. 2020 Apr 16;382(16):1520-1530. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1913805. Epub 2020 Mar 18. PMID: 32197277.